

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/561,623	09/15/2006	Kei Tokui	64653 (70904)	9784	
21874 7590 10/01/2007 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			KIANNI, KAVEH C		
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2883		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/561,623	TOKUI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kianni C. Kaveh	2883			
Pariod 6	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
	or Reply	/ IC CET TO EVOIDE 4	MONTH (C) OR THIRTY (CO) RAYO			
WHI - Ext afte - If N - Fai Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Se	eptember 2006.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims		•			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8)⊠	Claim(s) 2-14 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r .				
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		b by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		· ·			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[X]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
	All b) Some * c) None of:	priority arrao; 00 0.0.0.	3 1 10(2) (2) 01 (1).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have bee	n received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies no	t received.			
,	\					
Attachme	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/561,623

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- IA) (claim 2) wherein the plural units of the light path changing means are brought into
- contact with or not in contact with the light guiding plate one after another
- IB) (claim 4) wherein the light path changing
- means, which is independently brought into contact with or not in contact with
- the light guiding plate is individually brought into contact with the light
- guiding plate so as to illuminate plural pixels of the liquid crystal panel.
- IC) (claim 7) wherein the light path changing means is brought into contact with the
- light guiding plate so as to illuminate a region of the liquid crystal panel in which liquid
- crystal has responded almost completely
- ID) (claim 8) wherein the light path changing
- means includes a piezoelectric element which brings the light path changing
- means into contact or not in contact with the light guiding plate.
- IE) (claim 9) wherein a surface of the light path changing means brought into contact with the light guiding plate comprises organic resin.

IF) (claim 10) a reflecting means, provided on an opposite surface of a light incident surface of the light guiding plate, for causing propagating light in the first layer to propagate also in the second layer.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: as stated above each invention is has limitation(s) that is directed toward an invention that would require a different search that that of other group inventions and because each of the above inventions defining an invention that is distinct that that of the other and requiring a different search.

A telephone call was made to applicant on 9/18/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,623

Art Unit: 2883

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kianni C. Kaveh whose telephone number is 571-272-2417. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-19:00.

Art Unit: 2883

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

September 25, 2007

K. CYRUS KIANNI PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINED